Benzocyclohex-1-en-3-yne at High Temperature
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Abstract: Generation of benzocyclohex-1-en-3-yne in two ways leads to naphthalene and methyleneindene (benzofulvene). Labeling studies favor a multistep mechanism involving an initial electrocyclic ring opening, and carbene–alkene interconversions.

In the phenylcarbene rearrangement (PCR)¹ and the interconversion of phenylnitrene and pyridylcarbene,² an exo-ring methine or nitrogen becomes incorporated into the ring, as a ring vertex takes its place in the exo-ring position (Figure 1). A similar process occurs in carboranylcarbene.³

The graphic device in Figure 2 allows the construction of any of the carbenes available through these rearrangements. In each process a formally sp hybridized group enters the ring (or cage). We wondered if an acetylene could be coaxed into undergoing a related reaction. In such a process, a divalent carbon would be left behind (Figure 3), and it seemed that a suitable molecule could be constructed that would trap both the emerging and residual carbenes. Of course, simple acetylenes are very poor candidates for this reaction, and it seemed prudent to provide some impetus in the form of ring strain. Reactions are known in which acetylenes formally act as dicarbenes,⁴ and of course, the conversion of alkynes into a different kind of carbene, vinylidenes, which was pioneered by Roger Brown and the Australian pyrolysis group,⁵ has found recent spectacular application in L. T. Scott’s remarkable synthesis of corannulene.⁶

Such reasoning, together with perhaps more than a modicum of chutzpah, led to the idea of examining the chemistry of benzocyclohex-1-en-3-yne (1) at high temperature. In the end, we were able to show that our imagined reaction was preempted by another process, but much fascinating chemistry was uncovered and we report it here.

Two routes to 1, shown below, seemed likely to yield this intermediate (Figure 4). Indeed, related intermediates with one more double bond have been trapped intramolecularly by the Brown group⁷ through rearrangement to vinylidenes. The two required precursors, 2 and 3, were synthesized from an aldol-like condensation of 1-indanone with Meldrum’s acid and titanium tetrachloride⁷a and by the known synthesis of Hershberg and Fieser,⁷b respectively (Scheme 1). When 2 was dropped onto a hot quartz surface at 640 °C and the products were

---

captured in a trap cooled to \(-196^\circ\mathrm{C}\) at 0.005 Torr, naphthalene was formed along with methyleneindene (benzofulvene)\(^8\) in the ratio 1:2.6. A similar decomposition of \(3\) at 640 °C led to the same two products in the ratio 1:1.2 (Figure 5).

However, a \(^{13}\)C-labeling experiment quickly showed that naphthalene was not formed by our hoped-for mechanism. That route must produce naphthalene with a label in the ring junction (Scheme 2). In fact, decomposition of \(2\) with 100% \(^{13}\)C in the position shown led to naphthalene with the label distributed between the \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) positions, with no detectable \(^{13}\)C at the ring junction (Scheme 3).\(^5\) The expected equilibrium between \(1\) and the vinylidene would serve to scramble the label, but only the extended phenylcarbene rearrangement could put it at the ring junction.

What was most remarkable, at first, was that there was no label detectable at the methylene position of compound \(4\). Clearly, something unusual was going on in the formation of \(4\) that places one or both of the ring methylenes of \(2\) in the exo-ring position of \(4\). The apparent transfer of hydrogen from the ring to the divalent carbon cannot be taking place (Scheme 4).

Given all of the evidence for interconversion of vinylidenes and cycloalkynes,\(^5\) and the similarity of the products from \(2\) and \(3\), it seemed highly likely to us that \(1\) was formed. Cyclohexyne itself is known to undergo an electrocyclic ring-opening reaction at temperatures above 550 °C to give ethylene and butatriene.\(^10\) Calculations of Johnson and Bradley indicate that cyclohex-1-en-3-ynyl would also rearrange exothermically to vinyl-1,2,3-butatriene.\(^11\) If a related reaction were to occur in \(1\), \(o\)-xylylene \(5\) would be the product. Surely, given the exothermicity of rearromatization, \(5\) would rapidly close to \(6\). Strained alkenes are known to rearrange to carbenes at high temperatures.\(^12\) Compound \(6\) has two possibilities for such a reaction; one seems to be a cul-de-sac, but the other goes straight to carbene \(7\), and then to \(4\). This mechanism correctly predicts the fate of the \(^{13}\)C label (dots in Scheme 5).

A second labeling experiment, this time with deuterium, is consistent with this process but reveals that even more is going on. It also yields valuable insight into the source of naphthalene. 1-Indanone can be exchanged at the \(\alpha\) position by a modification (D\(_2\)O/NaOD, not D\(_2\)O/K\(_2\)CO\(_3\)) of the procedure of Lustig and Ragelis\(^13\) to give 2,2-dideuterio-1-indanone. Condensation with Meldrum’s acid leads to \(2\)-d\(_2\). Pyrolysis at 640 °C and 0.005 Torr led to methyleneindene \(4\)-d\(_2\) labeled as indicated in Scheme 6. The mechanism shown above would place all of the deuterium in the exo-methylene position. Therefore, approximately one-half of the reaction takes another path, not revealed by the carbon label.

It would appear that some competitive, but slower, process renders the two methylene positions of \(5\) and \(6\) equivalent. The following Scheme 7 accomplishes that end through the intermediacy of 1,2-dimethylenebenzocyclobutene (8). The carbon label remains in the experimentally observed positions in this

process (dots). The proposed mechanism finds excellent precedent in the thermal conversion of 3,4-dimethylene-cyclohexyne to fulvene and benzene at 620 °C in a ratio of 2:1. 1,2-Dimethylenebenzocyclobutene itself has not been so well studied but has been reported to rearrange to naphthalene when heated at 700 °C over Nichrome. 15

The naphthalene is labeled with deuterium in both the α and β positions. 13C also winds up in the same ratio as we have shown through control experiments, which show that only about 5% of naphthalene is formed from 4 under our reaction conditions. The plethora of potential routes to naphthalene makes mechanistic speculation difficult, but here are our thoughts on the likely mechanistic pathways. The vinylidene intermediate can insert into an adjacent position to give a fused cyclopropene 9. Opening of the cyclopropene ring to a vinylcarbene, a common occurrence, leads to 10 and thence to naphthalene labeled exclusively in the β position (Scheme 8). Cyclohexyne itself is thought to find its way to 1,3-cyclohexadiene by a parallel pathway. 10

Some α-substituted naphthalene can be produced by the rearrangement of 4-d2, but this pathway can account only for very small amounts as we have shown through control experiments that only about 5% of 4 is converted into naphthalene under our reaction conditions (Scheme 9).

We suggest that the α-substituted material must come from the intermediate 8, formed reversibly from 6. Once the two methylene groups are symmetrized through 4, the naphthalene skeleton is in place and accessible, as is implied by the known conversion to naphthalene at 700 °C over Nichrome. 15 We do not know the details, and dicarbene 11 is drawn as a convenience, but any route to naphthalene from 8 must lead to an α,β-substituted naphthalene (Scheme 10).

Despite these quite remarkable sequences, we have not found any evidence for our strained acetylene acting in dicarbene-like fashion. It may be that our choice was foolish, as the cyclohexyne intermediate 1 had a ready escape route built in, the reverse Diels–Alder reaction. It remains to be seen if the carbene-like behavior will appear once this escape route is cut off. We are pursuing two experiments of this kind and working out the details of the mechanism of naphthalene formation using labeled carbones.

Experimental Section

General Procedure. Unless otherwise specified, all reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers (Aldrich or as specified) and used without further purification. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded with JEOL GSX-270, GE QE-300, and Varian Unity Inova 500 spectrometers. GC–MS analyses were carried out on a Hewlett-Packard 5890/5971 gas chromatograph equipped with a mass-selective detector on a 0.25-mm-i.d., 0.25-mm film thickness, 30-m HP-1701 capillary column. Precise masses were measured on a KRATOS MS 50 RFA high-resolution mass spectrometer. Temperatures were measured with a thermocouple or a thermometer and are uncorrected.

2,2-Dimethyl-5-[(1′-indanylidene)-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione (2). The synthesis follows the general method of Baxter and Brown. 16 A solution of 11 mL of TiCl4 (100 mmol) in 25 mL of CCl4 was added dropwise to dry tetrahydrofuran (190 mL) at 0 °C. A solution of 6.6 g of indane (50 mmol) and 7.2 g of Meldrum’s acid (50 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (25 mL) was added slowly to the yellow precipitate and was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was then stirred for 4 days, during which time the solution turned opaque slate grey. Water and ether were added until the solid dissolved, and the aqueous layer was removed. The organic layer was washed with H2O again (600 mL). It was dried over Na2SO4, and the solvents were evaporated at the water pump. The crude product was then recrystallized from ethanol, yielding 4.2 g of white needles, 3 g of which was redisolved in ether and chromatographed on a silica gel column with 5:1 pentane:ether solvent. A second recrystallization from ethanol yielded 0.4 g (2.6 mmol, 7.3%): mp 101–108 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 1.79 (s, 6H), 3.15 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (m, 1H), 8.68 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 27.10, 30.54, 36.91, 103.49, 109.61, 125.62, 127.03, 129.76, 134.45, 137.08, 155.75, 162.33, 163.56, 172.9; IR (KBr) cm−1 1720, 1559, 1293, 1201; MS-EI m/z (relative intensity) 258 (3), 200 (100), 172 (39), 156 (98), 128 (94); HRMS calcd for C15H14O4 258.0892, found 258.0870.
2,2-Dimethyl-5-(1′-indanylidene)-5-[13C]-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione. This compound was synthesized in a fashion similar to that for 2, except that 2 equiv of 1-indanone was used. The yield was 36.5%: 13C NMR (67.94 MHz, CDCl3) δ 109.66

2,2-Dimethyl-5-[1-13C]-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione. This compound was synthesized through a modification of the method of Davidson and Bernhard.16 2-[13C]Malonic acid (Cambridge, 0.34 g, 3.26 mmol) and isopropenyl acetate (0.38 mL, 3.45 mmol) were placed into a 5-mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and cooled in an ice bath to 0 °C. To the mixture was added one drop of concentrated sulfuric acid, and stirring was continued at 0 °C for 2 h. The solution was cooled in the refrigerator overnight and filtered, and the solid was washed with 2 × 5 mL of cyclohexane. The crude solid (0.42 g) was dissolved in methylene chloride. Filtration led to 0.13 g of a solid containing mainly malonic acid and 2,2-dimethyl-[5-13C]-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione. Evaporation of the methylene chloride layer gave 0.32 g of 2,2-dimethyl-[5-13C]-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione (68%). 1H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl3) δ 1.79 (s, 6H), 3.63 (d, J = 133.7 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (67.94 MHz, CDCl3) δ 36.4 (s) (48 scans of a dilute sample).

2,2-Dimethyl-5-(1′-indanylidene)-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione. This compound was synthesized according to the method of Lustig and Ragelis,15 in which NaH was used as the base. 1-Indanone (2.0 g, 15.0 mmol), deuterium oxide (10 mL, 500 mmol), 1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.8 (m, 1H), 7.40 (m, 1H); 2 H NMR (500 MHz) (relative intensity) 131 (10), 130 (95), 129 (100). Methyleneindene: 1 H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.40 (m, 1H); 2 H NMR (500 MHz) (relative intensity) 131 (10), 130 (95), 129 (100). Methyleneindene: 1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.8 (s, 1H), 7.40 (m, 1H); 2 H NMR (500 MHz) (relative intensity) 131 (10), 130 (95), 129 (100).

Typical Procedure for Pyrolysis. Pyrolyses were carried out in a 500-mL two-necked quartz flask wrapped with heating wire. One of the necks was connected to a 10-mL round flask into which the corresponding starting material was placed; the other neck was connected to a liquid nitrogen trap which was in turn connected to a vacuum system. Prior to the pyrolysis, the quartz flask was heated to the desired temperature and the whole system was evacuated for at least 1 h. The starting material was slowly dropped into the quartz flask in such a way that the pressure did not exceed 60 × 10−3 Torr. After the pyrolysis was completed, the products in the cold trap were immediately dissolved in an appropriate solvent for analysis or further treatment.

Pyrolysis of 2,2-Dimethyl-5-(1′-indanylidene)-5-[13C]-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione (2). Compound 2 (84 mg, 0.325 mmol) was pyrolyzed to give the products as a yellow oil. An analysis (GC) of the products revealed methyleneindene (4) (0.2 mmol, 66%) and naphthalene (0.1 mmol, 26%) as the major products, along with a very small amount of unidentified compounds whose m/z values were 128 and 116.

Pyrolysis of 2,2-Dimethyl-5-(1′-indanylidene)-5-[1-13C]-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione. The 13C content of the products was measured by comparing the corresponding signal intensities to those from the products of the experiment using unlabeled material. The 1H (500 MHz) signal of unlabeled 4 [δ 6.47 (dd, J = 5.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H)] was split into a wide doublet in the 13C-containing material [δ 6.47 (d, J = 5.0, 170 Hz, 0.5H)]. The residual 1H of unlabeled material appeared as usual at δ 6.47 (0.5H).

Pyrolysis of 2,2-Dimethyl-5(2′,2′-dideuterio-1′-indanylidene)-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione (2). Pyrolysis led to deuterated naphthalene and methyleneindene. Naphthalene: 1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.8 (m, 1H), 7.40 (m, 1H); 2 H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.8 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s); GC–MS m/z (relative intensity) 131 (10), 130 (95), 129 (100). Methyleneindene: 1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 6.47 (m, 0.75H), 6.03, 6.02 (0.5H), 5.68 (s), 5.7 (s) (0.5H); 2H NMR (500 MHz) (relative intensity) δ 6.84 (s, 0.25H), 6.48 (s, 0.25H), 6.0 (s, 1H), 6.03 (s, 1H); GC–MS m/z (relative intensity) 131 (10), 130 (100), 129 (95).

Pyrolysis of 3,4-Dihydro-1,2-naphthalic Anhydride (3). Compound 3 (60 mg, 0.30 mmol) was pyrolyzed as described above to give methyleneindene (4) in 20% yield (0.06 mmol) and naphthalene in 17% yield (0.05 mmol) along with a very small amount of starting material. The determination of yield was by 1H NMR spectroscopy.
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(17) The multiple signals result from different deuterated isomers of 4.